## DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR) **Emergency Watershed Protection Program - Recovery**

Section 1A	-	NRCS Entry ( Eligible:	YES 🔀	NO
Date of Report: 03/08/2006		Approved:	YES 🔀	NO To a Section 4) Zde
DSR Number: 011-05-054R Project Number:				NO 🔀
Sponsor Name: City of DeRidder	isor Infoi	rmation		
Address: 200 South Jefferson st.				
City/State/Zip: DeRidder, Louisiana 70634				
Telephone Number: (337) 462-8900 Fax:			•	
Section 1C Site Loc	cation In	formation		
County: Beauregard State: Louisiana	Con	gressional Dist	rict:	
Latitude: 30.83689 Longitude: -93.29394				
UTM Coordinates:				
Drainage Name: 8TH Street to HWY 27/Railroad	_ Reach:_	950 LF		
Damage Description: Debris accumulation in channel from Hurrican	e Rita.			
Section 1D Si		ation		
All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for I				
Site Eligibility	YES		CI CI	temarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	<b>√</b>	<u> </u>		cmai ks
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?*	<b>1</b>			
Threat to life and/or property?*	<b>V</b>	F		
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*	<b>V</b>		1	***************************************
Imminent threat was created by this event?**	<b>1</b>			
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?**	<b>✓</b>			1
Site Defensibility		un delugazen:		
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	1			
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	<b>V</b>			
Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments program and its possible effects? YES VES NO City engineer has been consulted.  Comments:	of the af	fected populat	ion have been inf	Formed of the EWP
* 0				

<sup>\*</sup> Statutory \*\* Regulation

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

DSR NO:	011-05-054R

#### Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

Remove debris from the channel accessing the channel from one side. Debris will be removed by hauling, burning, or chipping. The proposed action is the preferred alternative. The proposed action is cost effective, benefits the environment, and is socially acceptable.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$ 14,745.00

	Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval		
Reviewed By:	State EWP Program Manager	Date Reviewed: 3/20/06	
Approved By:	State Conservationist	Date Approved:	

#### PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

#### **USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT**

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, martial status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programms.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (vocie and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

#### Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

### **Section 2 Environmental Evaluation**

2A Resource	2B Existing	2C Alternative Designation		
Concerns	2B Existing Condition	Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
		21	D Effects of Alternativ	85
Soil			D Lifects of Alternativ	<del></del>
Water				
Downstream				
water rights				
Air				
Plant				
Animal				
Other				
Juici				

	DSR NO:	
--	---------	--

**Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns** 

Resource	Existing Condition	E Special Environme	Alternatives and Effects	
Consideration	Laisung Condition	Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Consideration		r roposed Action	INO ACTION	Anemauve
Clean Water Act				
Waters of the U.S.				
waters of the o.s.				
Coastal Zone				
Management Areas				
Wanagement 7 ireas				
Coral Reefs				
Colul ICCIS				
Cultural Resources				
Cultural Resources				
Endangered and				
Threatened Species				
Environmental				
Justice				
- Custice				
Essential Fish				
Habitat				
Fish and Wildlife				
Coordination				
Floodplain				
Management				
Invasive Species				
•				
Migratory Birds				
-				
Natural Areas				
Prime and Unique				
Farmlands				
Riparian Areas				
Scenic Beauty				
Wetlands				
Wild and Scenic				
Rivers				

Completed By:	D	Date:	
---------------	---	-------	--

### **Section 2F Economic**

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

	Future Damages (\$)	Damage Factor (%)	Near Term Damage Reduction
Properties Protected (Private)			Reduction
3 homes @ 2000 sqft			
Properties Protected (Public)			
Business Losses			
Other			
	Total Near Term Dar		
Net Benefit (Total Near Te	rm Damage Reduction minus Co	st from Section 3)	

Completed By:	Date:
---------------	-------

Note: An economic value for having to use alternate routes should the roads flood in the area exists, but its value is minimal and no data is available to quantify it. The alternate route is located nearby and the mileage differential is minimal. There are three homes in danger of flooding should a second event occur.

## Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

## (attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?			
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?			
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?			
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)			
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?			

Completed By	·:	Date:	

DSR NO:
---------

## **Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information**

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	
Asian Female Hispanic	
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	
Asian Male Hispanic	
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	
Black or African American Male Hispanic	
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	
White Female Hispanic	
White Female Non-Hispanic	
White Male Hispanic	
White Male Non-Hispanic	
Total Group	
Census tract(s)	

Completed By:	Date:	
Note: Only three homes are directly impacted in the e	event of flooding.	Using the total population
divided by the number of household gave an estimated	number of people	e per household (2.5) which shows
that approximately eight people are directly impacted.	The remaining p	eople are impacted by flooding over the

roads.

DSR NO:		
Section 3 Engineering Cost E	stimate	
	Date:	

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Completed By: \_\_\_\_\_

Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Amount (\$)
Total Inc	tallation Cost (Ent	ear in Section 1E\\$	
			Quantity Units Unit Cost (\$)

**Unit Abbreviations:** 

AC Acre
CY Cubic Yard
EA Each
HR Hour
LF Linear Feet

LS Lump Sum
SF Square Feet
SY Square Yard
TN Ton
Other (Specifiy)

DSR NO:
---------

## **Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority**

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifer
1. Is this an exigency situation?				
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?				
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened?				
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?				
The following are modifiers for the above criteria			Modifier	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed				
threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?				
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites				
listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?				
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?				
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?				
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?				

Enter	priority	com	putation	in	Section	1A.	. NRCS	Entry	Funding	priority	number.

DSR NO: \_\_\_\_\_

### **Section 5A Findings**

### Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):

Remove debris from the channel accessing the channel from one side. Debris will be removed by hauling, burning, or chipping. The proposed action is the preferred alternative. The proposed action is cost effective, benefits the environment, and is socially acceptable.


I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated below, that the preferred alternative:

✓ Has been sufficiently analyzed in the	e EWP PEIS (reference all that apply)
Chapter 5.2.2.1.2	( FF-3)
Chapter	
Chapter	
Chapter	
May require the preparation of an er The action will be referred to the NRCS S	nvironmental assessment or environmental impact statement. State Office on this date:
NRCS representative of the DSR team	
Title: Frank Chapman	Date: 3/17/06
Jan organi-	27.90
<i>V</i>	
Section 5B Comments:	
Section 5C	Sponsor Concurrence: Ve5
	7-2
	/
Sponsor Representative	4
Made and the	3 0 0
Title:	Date: 3-17-06
Section 6 Attachments:	
A. Location Map	


B. Site Plan or SketchesC. Other (explain)

# **SECTION 6**

# **ATTACHMENTS**



# SITE MAP DSR 011-05-054R Downstream of West Eighth Street - DeRidder Beauregard Parish




## TOPO MAP DSR 011-05-054R


# Downstream of West Eighth Street - DeRidder Beauregard Parish

TopoZone - The Web's Topographic Map

Page 1 of 1



### **Debris Removal**



Typical Section Not to Scale

Notice: 48 Hours Before Digging Call 1-800-272-3020

\*Note: Access and work from east side only, except in locations where structures do not permit as concurred in by the COTR

**Exception** it may be possible that trees which were located outside of the tree removal limits may have fallen into the removal limits, the entire tree will be removed back to the root ball even if only a portion of the tree is withinthe removal limits

DSR No: 011-05-054R Prefered Measure

**Section 5 Engineering Cost Estimate Worksheet** 

Parish: Beauregard
Channel: Tributary of Palmetto Creek

Location: DeRidder - West Eighth St. to Highway 27

Completed By: Matt Pyle (Revised BAS 3/15/06)

Date: 9-Mar-06

Type of Work: Debris Removal

**Location of Work:** 

Township(s)Range(s)Section(s)Quadrangle(s)2 south9 west4DeRidder

Reach or Channel Seg Reach or Channel Seg Reach or Channel Seg Latitude Longitude Latitude Longitude Latitude Longitude

Downstream Start: 30.83737 93.29386 Latitude Longitude Latitude Longitude

Upstream End: 30.83950 93.29340

Estimated Length of Work Segment (ft):

950 linear feet

Item No.	Proposed Recovery Measure	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Amount
1	Mobilization & Demobilization	1	LS	\$2,000.00	\$2,000
2	Channel Obstruction Removal	950	LF	\$13.30	\$12,635
3	Seeding, Sprigging and Mulching	0.55	AC	\$200.00	\$109
4					\$0
5					\$0

Note: Estimated cost of debris removal includes equipment, labor, hauling, and disposal of material.

Total Estimated Construction Cost \$14,744

**Performance Time:** 

Production Rate Segment Length Production Time Contract Time

175 Ft/Day 950 Ft 5.43 Days 8 Days

Plus 2 Days Move In

Estimated Cost of Equipment with Labor (Per Revised Costs by BAS 2-9-06)

Description of Work: Heavy \$13.30

**Estimated Cost of Seeding with Labor** 

Segment Length Segment Width No.of Segment Acres Cost per Ac Total Cost

950 Ft. 25 Ft. 1 0.55 \$200 \$109

#### Comments:

Selected Alternative involves East side of channel and 25ft. of top bank and removing only debris obstructing channel section, NOT floodplains. Chipping and Burning Debris on sight allowed.

DSR No: 011-05-054R Alternative Measure

**Section 5 Engineering Cost Estimate Worksheet** 

Parish: Beauregard
Channel: Tributary of Palmetto Creek

Location: DeRidder - West Eighth St. to Highway 27

Completed By: Matt Pyle (Revised BAS 3/15/06)

Date: 9-Mar-06

Type of Work: Debris Removal

**Location of Work:** 

Township(s)Range(s)Section(s)Quadrangle(s)2 south9 west4DeRidder

Reach or Channel Seg Reach or Channel Seg Reach or Channel Seg Latitude Longitude Latitude Longitude Latitude Longitude

Downstream Start: 30.83737 93.29386 Latitude Longitude Latitude Longitude

Upstream End: 30.83950 93.29340

**Estimated Length of Work Segment (ft):** 

950 linear feet

Item No.	Proposed Recovery Measure	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost	Amount
1	Mobilization & Demobilization	1	LS	\$2,000.00	\$2,000
2	Channel Obstruction Removal	950	LF	\$13.30	\$12,635
3	Seeding, Sprigging and Mulching	1.09	AC	\$200.00	\$218
4					\$0
5					\$0

Note: Estimated cost of debris removal includes equipment, labor, hauling, and disposal of material.

Total Estimated Construction Cost \$14,853

**Performance Time:** 

Production Rate Segment Length Production Time Contract Time

175 Ft/Day 950 Ft 5.43 Days 8 Days

Plus 2 Days Move In

Estimated Cost of Equipment with Labor (Per Revised Costs by BAS 2-9-06)

Description of Work: Heavy Cost per LF \$13.30

**Estimated Cost of Seeding with Labor** 

Segment Length Segment Width No.of Segment Acres Cost per Ac **Total Cost** 950 Ft. 25 Ft. 2 1.09 \$200 \$218

Comments:

Selected Alternative involves working both sides of channel and 25ft. of top bank and removing only debris obstructing channel section, NOT floodplains. Chipping and Burning Debris on sight allowed.

Channel Obstruction Evaluation

Darich D				SITE INFOR	MATION			
Parish: <b>Beq</b> Dity: Dr &	idde u				Site: 7	ributary tot	glueto Cr.	
ponsor: Pa	wish Poli	ניינולו פני			L			
Sponsor: Parish Police Jury Date: 3/8/06			Reach:	From - 8+4	57.			
⁄aluation Te	eam: Mat7	Pyle, Frank Ch	impran ;	Jay Martin		To- Huy 2	7 /RR constressle	
PHOT	TO NUMB	ERS AND BRI	EF DESC	RIPTION			POINTS	
Photo #	# Description				9.8 373 7 30.83689			
	see notes		<b>-</b>	dstream— ork (U/S end) W	. , , ,			
		NE	ARBY AI	VD UPSTRE	AM STRU	<del></del>		
Allie West	CHURCH		(Fill	in Numbers, Val.	ues, and Size		NIO FAGURA	
lo. of Churches			No. of S		<del></del>	No. of Public Facili	BLIG FACILITIES	
HOMESITES							ESSES	
lo. of Homesites			3		No. of Bu		1	
verage Value of Homes ( X \$1,000 )				Size of Bu	Annual Control of the	S M L		
1118390	7 Notice and	KW M. I. Philipped Print	67	DEAM OF	00011			
1948			(CIRCLEA	REAM CRO	SSINGS.			
TYI	PE	MATE	RIAI	pe and write mate				
Brid	dge	1				NUMBER, SIZE, & LI	ENGTH	
Culv	verts			+				
Other or	r None							
		(CUECY #= I		UTILITIE	S		FRANCIA TO	
T		Overhead (Powe	Coble ct	unnes in the er		E stream orientation)		
<del> </del>		Buried (Gas, Sew	er wester of	<i>i.)</i>		U/S D/S		
	Flevs	ated Cross channe	U (ΛΛοτος Ο	044 6		U/S D/S		
narks: 1)a	ne obse	A NOC	r (vvaler, G	25, UIC.)	<u> </u>	J/S	D/S	
///	·E DUNC							
1	CHA	NNEL CHARA	CTERIST	ICS	Order -	Mary State Company		
(CH	IECK appropri	ate box for slope and	fill in dimen	sions information			FLOW	
	SLOPES							
				DIMENSIONS	•	ls W	later Flowing?	
1.	.5 : 1 or stee	per		Top Width (ft.)		YES	NO	
1.	.5 : 1 through	3 : 1 Slope	Bottom Width (ft.)		14	Is debris accumulating? (i.e. Leaves, Tras		
FI	latter than 3	.1	Depth (ft.)		3	YES	NO)	
			074-	-14FM			(10)	
		(CHECK the	SIATE	MENT OF F	ROBLEM			
	(CHECK the boxes as needed, and CIRCLE							
ERRIC	11.4	EDICIO I		SIZE OF DEBRIS		BLOCKAGE		
	CHANNEL	CHANNEL	I .				ection Obstructed:	
e Trees	CHANNEL	CHANNEL						
e Trees dwoods			Light	Moderate	Heavy	Less than 25	% 26%-50%	
e Trees dwoods hrubs	CHANNEL	V	Light		Heavy	Less than 25	% 26%-50% 76%-100%	
e Trees dwoods hrubs	CHANNEL	V	1 -			Less than 25		
e Trees dwoods hrubs	CHANNEL	V	1 -			Less than 25		
e Trees dwoods	CHANNEL	v ie threat	eus he	ouses w	large	Less than 25 51%-75%		
Trees twoods	CHANNEL	v ie threat	eus he VORK ME	THOD AND	LOCATIO	Less than 25 51%-75%		
e Trees divoods nrubs	CHANNEL  Slockag	v ie threat	VORK ME	THOD AND	LOCATIO	Less than 25 51%-75%		
e Trees divoods nrubs (explain)	Blo class	v ie threate v Floating Equipme	VORK ME	THOD AND K the box that be	LOCATIONS applies,	Less than 25 51%-75% V414-4//		
e Trees ctwoods crubs (explain)	Blo claage	E threats  V  Floating Equipme Non - Floating Eq	VORK ME	THOD AND K the box that be	LOCATIONS applies,	Less than 25 51%-75% V414-4//		
e Trees dwoods hrubs r (explain) 6	Blo claag thin Channel thin Channel on Top Bank	Floating Equipme	VORK ME	THOD AND K the box that be	LOCATIONS applies,	Less than 25 51%-75% V414-4//		
with the property of the prope	thin Channel om Top Bank	Floating Equipme Non - Floating Eq s	VORK ME	THOD AND K the box that be	LOCATION ST applies; agy) hoe, Spider,	Less than 25 51%-75%  VUITEDN  etc)	76%-100%	
e Trees dwoods hrubs r (explain) (	thin Channel om Top Bank	Floating Equipme Non - Floating Eq s	VORK ME (CHECH nt (i.e. Barg uipment (Ex	THOD AND K the box that be e or Marsh Bug ccavator/Track-	LOCATION ST applies; agy) hoe, Spider,	Less than 25 51%-75%  VGIAGII  ON  etc)	76%-100%	
e Trees dwoods hrubs r (explain) (with the proof of the p	thin Channel om Top Bank	Floating Equipme	VORK ME (CHECH nt (i.e. Barg uipment (Ex	THOD AND K the box that be	LOCATION ST applies; agy) hoe, Spider,	Less than 25 51%-75%  VGIAGII  ON  etc)	76%-100%	
e Trees dwoods hrubs r (explain) (with the property of the pro	thin Channel om Top Bank	Floating Equipme Non - Floating Eq s	VORK ME (CHECH nt (i.e. Barg uipment (Ex	THOD AND K the box that be e or Marsh Bug ccavator/Track-	LOCATION ST applies; agy) hoe, Spider,	Less than 25 51%-75%  VGIAGII  ON  etc)	76%-100%	